

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,863	01/29/2004	Jose L. Martinez	245597US-30	2634
22850 7590 04/11/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/11/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/11/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,863	MARTINEZ, JOSE L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James N. Smalley	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	ecember 2006					
,	action is non-final.					
,	,_					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Globba in abbondance man the pression and a						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10,12 and 28-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
,— ,						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/765,863 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 22 December 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no undue burden is placed on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the non-elected claims are drawn to manufacturing methods which have primary classification separate from those drawn to the apparatus. Therefore, a complete examination of both inventions would have a larger search than merely searching for the claimed apparatus, and therefore would place undue burden on the Examiner in locating more than one invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

- 2. The use of the trademarks TEFLON ® and ZONYL ® have been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.
- *Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 12, 28-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunimoto et al. US 4,310,100.

Kunimoto '100 teaches a crown cap in figure 2, and a rolled cap in figure 3, which are provided with an epoxy resin layer (4) disposed over the inner surface of a crown cap in order to prevent corrosion and rusting.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,863 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunimoto et al. US 4,310,100 in view of Binter US 5,312,491.

Kunimoto '100 fails to teach the use of ZONYL or TEFLON as suitable lacquer coatings for the inner surfaces of the cap.

Binter '491 teaches a rust inhibiting composition for protecting metal surfaces, disclosing in paragraph 4, lines 63+, continuing through column 5 that the composition may comprise 1-5% weight TEFLON. The reference furthermore teaches ZONYL but only at 0.001% - 0.25% weight ratio. These compositions are specifically taught in the last line of column 4, through the first line of column 5 to be for use in high-wear environments.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the closure cap of Kunimoto '100, providing the TEFLON and ZONYL blend lacquer coating taught by Binter '491, motivated by the benefit of preventing corrosion from liquid on the cap. Furthermore, Examiner notes it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

7. Claims 28-31 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Garza et al. US 3,910,445 in view of Kunimoto et al. US 4,310,100.

Garza '445 teaches a linerless metallic crown cap to be applied to the open end of a bottle. The reference fails to teach a non-wetting layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,863

Art Unit: 3781

Kunimoto '100 teaches applying an epoxy resin layer (4) to the inner surface of a crown cap or rolled cap in order to prevent corrosion,.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the crown cap of Garza '445, providing the non-wetting layer of Kunimoto '100, motivated by thte benefit of preventing rusting and corrosion of the crown cap. Furthermore, Examiner notes It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-6 and 11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See attached PTO-892, citing relevant references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,863

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

jns

1000.

ANTHONY D. STASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Page 5